

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/796,438	03/08/2004	Carl J. Conforti	003/008	1808
CARL CONFO	7590 08/22/2008 ORTI		EXAM	INER
30 RIVERVIE	W STREET	PASCUA, JES F		
FALL RIVER	, MA 02724		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/796,438	CONFORTI, CARL J.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Jes F. Pascua	3782				
	10/796,438 Examiner	10/796,438 CONFORTI, CARL Examiner Art Unit			

	Jes F. Pascua	3782	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A on event, however, will the statutory priorid for reply expire le Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706 07 (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origit than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic le of the final rejection, ex	te extension fee a action; or (2) as en if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMELINATION. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. \(\text{The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a lower final rejection fina	sideration and/or search (see NO v);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims without canceling a company of the present additional claims are company of the present additional claims and the present additional claims are company of the presen	orresponding number of finally reje		e issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)	\ //		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		timely filed amendmen	t canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 7-11 and 23-30.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	e because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Jes F. Pascua/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 3782	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed "single sided self stick material" of claims 7 and 28 and the proposed "one sided self adhering material" of claim 27 raise new issues that would require more than nominal consideration. The proposed language also raises the issue of new matter. The proposed amendment to claim 23 appears not to define over the Young et al. reference.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The cancellation of claims 8, 25 and 30 would overcome the rejection of those claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, 102(b) and 103(a).